

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

John Chamblee

Plaintiff,

v.

TerraForm Power Inc., et al.

Defendant.

*

*

*

*

Case No. 8:16-cv-981-PWG

MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

I, Daniel S. Sommers, am a member in good standing of the bar of this Court. I am moving the admission of Jeremy A. Lieberman to appear pro hac vice in this case as counsel for Plaintiff, John Chamblee.

We certify that:

1. The proposed admittee is not a member of the Maryland bar and does not maintain any law office in Maryland
2. The proposed admittee is a member in good standing of the bars of the following State Courts and/or United States Courts:

State Court & Date of Admission

U.S. Court & Date of Admission

See Exhibit 1

See Exhibit 1

3. During the twelve months immediately preceding this motion, the proposed admittee has been admitted pro hac vice in this Court one time.
4. The proposed admittee has never been disbarred, suspended, or denied admission to practice law in any jurisdiction. (NOTE: If the proposed admittee has been disbarred, suspended, or denied admission to practice law in any jurisdiction, then he/she must submit a statement fully explaining all relevant facts.)
See Exhibit 2.
5. The proposed admittee is familiar with the Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court, and understands he/she shall be subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction of this Court.

6. The proposed admittee understands admission pro hac vice is for this case only and does not constitute formal admission to the bar of this Court.
7. Either the undersigned movant or _____, is also a member of the bar of this Court in good standing, and will serve as co-counsel in these proceedings.
8. **The \$50.00 fee for admission pro hac vice accompanies this motion.**
9. We hereby certify under penalties of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

MOVANT



Signature

Daniel S. Sommers, MD Bar No. 15822

Printed name and bar number

Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC

Office name

1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005

Address

dsommers@cohenmilstein.com

Email Address

202-408-4600

Telephone number

202-408-4699

Fax Number

PROPOSED ADMITTEE



Signature

Jeremy A. Lieberman (N.Y. Bar No. 4161352)

Printed name and bar number

Pomerantz LLP

Office name

600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10016

Address

jalieberman@pomlaw.com

Email Address

212-661-1100

Telephone number

917-463-1044

Fax Number

Exhibit 1

State Court and Date of Admission:

<i>Name of Court</i>	<i>Date of Admission</i>
Supreme Court for the State of New York, Second Judicial Department	09/17/2003

U.S. Court and Date of Admission:

<i>Name of Court</i>	<i>Date of Admission</i>
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York	01/10/2006
United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York	01/10/2006
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas	11/26/2013
United States District Court for the District of Colorado	06/13/2014
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan	06/19/2014
United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois	08/31/2012
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit	11/09/2009
United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit	01/31/2014
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit	01/30/2015
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit	03/03/2011
United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit	03/05/2014

Exhibit 2

4. The proposed admittee has never been disbarred, suspended or denied admission to practice law in any jurisdiction. (NOTE: If the proposed admittee has been disbarred, suspended or denied admission to practice law in any jurisdiction, then he/she must submit a statement fully explaining all relevant facts.)

On May 23, 2014, the Honorable Percy Anderson of the United States District Court for the Central District of California denied my Motion for Admission, *Pro Hac Vice* in the action, Grodko, et al., v. Lihua International, Inc., et al., Case no. 2:14-cv-03503-PA-VBK. The motion was denied due to technical non-compliance with the Judge's individual rules of practice.